









## **Corrosion-Fatigue in Renewable Energy Marine Structures**

Victor Igwemezie, Ali Mehmanparast, Athanasios Kolios **REMS Centre, Cranfield University, UK, MK43 0AL** 

## Introduction

Fatigue is perhaps the greatest challenge today in the expansion of the capability of wind turbines and invariably reduction of cost of electricity generation in the wind energy sector to meet the Levelised Cost of Energy (LCOE) of £100/MWh target by 2020<sup>[1]</sup>. Wind turbine support structures are exposed to high cyclic loading caused by wind and normal operations. If in offshore environment, cyclic loading due to waves and sea current will be present.

This research aims at reducing the cost of wind energy through effective and economic design of its support structure. It is expected that the outcome of this research will provide guidance on the prediction of corrosion fatigue life, inspection requirements and economic use of S355 steel, particularly S355G10+M sub-grade in the design of offshore wind turbine support structure.

Typical wind profile	Blade passing frequency (3P)	Mean wind Rotation Steady loads		Name	Rotor Freq. Range (1P)	Blade passing Freq. (3P) Range
		Wind shear	40		[Hz]	[Hz]
	3P = 1P X Number of blades	Yaw error Yaw motion Cyclic loads	30	Vestas V66 2 MW turbine	0.18 - 0.41	0.54 - 1.23
	Rotor frequency (1P)	Rotation		Vestas V90 3 MW turbine	0.14 - 0.31	0.42 – 0.90
	s	Turbulence Stochastic loads		Siemens SWT-3.6(MW)-107	0.08 - 0.22	0.24 - 0.66



0.17 - 0.25	0.50 - 0.75
0.12 - 0.20	0.35 - 0.60
0.10 - 0.16	0.30 - 0.48
0.14 - 0.32	0.41 - 0.95
	0.17 - 0.25 0.12 - 0.20 0.10 - 0.16 0.14 - 0.32

Fig. 3: Typical values of 1P and 3P forcing frequencies for some operational and under development offshore wind turbines

**Methodology:** To determine the effects of operational frequency, wind loading waveform and mean stress on the fatigue crack growth rate of S355G10+M structural steel in seawater - Instron rig is used to perform the fatigue test and WaveMatrix<sup>TM</sup> Software is used to simulate sine and holdtime waveforms. Optical microscope and scanning electron microscope are used for post-mortem metallurgical studies.



For a short duration test a difference in corrosion-fatigue crack growth behaviour of S355G10+M structural steel in seawater has been observed for sine and holdtime waveforms. The crack growth is higher for the holdtime waveform (Fig. 7).

## **Future Work**

- 1. Determination of long term effect due to seawater, waveforms, frequencies and mean stress for wind turbine support structure
- 2. Post-Mortem Metallurgical Analyses of failed samples





Fig. 6: Seawater test set up and crack growth



• CTS1 Sinewave (12kN), R = 0.1, Freq. = 0.3Hz

• CTS2 Holdtime waveform (12kN), R = 0.1, Freq. = 0.3Hz

Fig. 7: Plot of CFCG vs. N in seawater for the Sine and Holdtime waveforms

## References

- 1. OWPB. Cost reduction monitoring framework 2015. 2015. Available at: http://www.thecrownestate.co.uk/ (Accessed: 4 April 2016)
- 2. Lombardi D., Bhattacharya S., Muir Wood D. Dynamic soil-structure interaction of monopile supported wind turbines in cohesive soil. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering. Elsevier; 2013; 49: 165–180.
- 3. Bhattacharya S., et al. Observed dynamic soil-structure interaction in scale testing of offshore wind turbine foundations. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering. Elsevier; 2013; 54: 47–60.
- 4. Henderson RA ed. Design Methods for Offshore Wind Turbines at Exposed Sites (OWTES): Hydrodynamic Loading on Offshore Wind Turbines. Delft; 2003.

Victor.lgwemezie@cranfield.ac.uk www.rems-cdt.ac.uk